

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,362	12/06/2005	Abraham Jan De Bart	NL 30637	5658	
65913 NXP. B.V.	7590 10/29/2008		EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			BURD, KEVI	BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2611		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/29/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/559,362 DE BART ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Kevin M. Burd 2611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 December 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/559,362 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which
papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the unlabeled rectangular boxes shown in the drawings (specifically figures 3 and 4) should be provided with descriptive text labels. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Application/Control Number: 10/559.362 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a guotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The claim does not appear to recite any method steps. The disclosed features of the claim appear to recite a component of an apparatus and functional language describing that component.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treatly in the Endish language.
- Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sun et al (US 2004/0066773).

Regarding claim 1, 5 and 7-9, Sun discloses an OFDM wireless communication system comprising a receiver (paragraph 0004). The receiver and the method for using the receiver is shown in figure 6 (paragraph 0032). The receiver comprises a channel corrector (FEQ 603) for receiving an input signal from the FFT 601. The equalizer also

Application/Control Number: 10/559,362

Art Unit: 2611

receives a correction signal from channel estimator 602 to correct for an amplitude and/or phase of the input signal. The equalizer will output an equalized signal. The channel estimation unit comprises a Viterbi decoder 604 that is a decision circuit for providing decision feedback to the equalizer (paragraph 0066). A difference between the input signal and the feedback signal is determined in block 606 and this difference signal is used to adjust the equalizer via channel estimator 602.

Regarding claim 2, the receiver comprises a FFT circuit 601 for supplying an input signal to the equalizer 603 (figure 6).

Regarding claims 3 and 6, a comparison between the input signal (A) and the decision feed back signal (B) is conducted in block 606. Block 606 will output an information signal to the channel estimator 602. Channel estimator 602 will update or correct the equalizer 603.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al (US 2004/0066773).

Regarding claim 4, Sun discloses the receiver described above. Sun further discloses an initial estimate of the channel is conducted using pilot signals (paragraph

0074). This information will be input to the equalizer and equalized data will be fed back to block 606 for comparison to the input signal. Sun does not disclose filtering of the signals present in the receiver of figure 6. Official notice is taken that filtering of received signals is well known in the art of data communication. The filtering of received signals allows undesirable components of the received signal to be removed prior to the processing of the signal. This will prevent the undesirable components of the received signal from causing errors to the processed received data. Removing of these errors allows the recovery of the originally transmitted data to be conducted quickly and efficiently. For these reasons, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate basic filtering processes into the receiver of Sun.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Narasimhan (US 7,016,651) discloses the OFDM receiver shown in figure 2. The receiver comprises FFT 208, equalizer 220, decision circuit 225 and channel estimator 210. Grokhov et al (US 2002/0146063) discloses the OFDM receiver as shown in figure 1. The receiver comprises FFT block 22, equalizer 24, channel estimator 28 and the slicer 26. The input signal and the output signal of the slicer are input to the channel estimator and the result of the comparison of these signals is input to the equalizer.

Application/Control Number: 10/559.362

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Payne can be reached on (571) 272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin M. Burd/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611 10/23/2008